	Case 1:21-cv-01343-DAD-JLT Docume	ent 9 Filed 10/14/21 Page 1 of 1
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FRANK MONACO BAZZO,	Case No. 1:21-cv-01343-DAD-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR REFERRAL TO ALTERNATIVE
13	v.	DISPUTE RESOLUTION
14	S. GATES, et al.,	(Doc. 8)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On October 12, 2021, Plaintiff filed a motion "request[ing] this Honorable Court to	
18 19	ORDER ADR per precedents." (Doc. 8 at 5.) The Court construes Plaintiff's filing as a motion to refer this case to alternative dispute resolution.	
20	Plaintiff's motion is premature. As stated in the Court's First Information Order,	
21	Plaintiff's complaint is subject to screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. ( <i>See</i> Doc. 2 at 3.) If,	
22	upon screening, the Court finds that Plaintiff states a cognizable claim for relief, and if the	
23	defendants then file an answer to the complaint, the Court will refer this case to ADR at that	
24	point. Accordingly, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's motion as premature.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: October 13, 2021	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
28	(	CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE